UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,926	12/09/2003	Philip J. Robinson	18388 USA	6652
27081 7590 11/01/2007 OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC. ONE MICHAEL OWENS WAY, THREE O-I PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
PERRYSBUR	PERRYSBURG, OH 43551-2999		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/731,926	ROBINSON, PHILIP J.
Oi	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		James N. Smalley	3781
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period f - Failure to repl Any reply reco	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju action is FINAL . 2b) This this application is in condition for allowar d in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of	Claims		
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim 8) ☐ Claim	f the above claim(s) is/are pending in the appending the above claim(s) is/are withdraven(s) is/are allowed. f(s) 2-9,11 and 14 is/are rejected. f(s) is/are objected to. f(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Pa			·
10)∭ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examine rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the comment drawing sheet(s) including the correct ath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119		•
a)	by Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of Re 2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2-6, 7-9, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vassallo US 6,640,987 in view of Biesecker et al. US 2004/0222181 and in view of Briere et al. US 5,836,466.

Vassallo '987 teaches a container neck with external threads and locking lugs with a radially extending side and a tapered side, and, a child-resistant cap comprising concentric inner and outer sidewalls, with a threaded internal sidewall and diametrically opposed lugs comprising a U-shaped recess/indentation as best seen in figure 5.

The reference fails to teach a container having a shoulder between a container neck and body.

Biesecker '181 teaches a container having a shoulder portion roughly located at (70). It is known shoulder portion, which is essentially a taper between a thinner neck and a larger body.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the container of Vassallo '987 providing a shoulder between the neck and body, motivated by the benefit of allowing the container to be formed to a larger diameter than the neck and thus increasing the volume of the container. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

The reference also fails to teach squeeze pads on the closure. However, Vassallo '987 does teach in column 3, lines 63-66 that the location to squeeze the closure during removal is at the location of the arrows in figure 4 which are located such that they are on an axis perpendicular to an axis through the closure locking lugs.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,926

Art Unit: 3781

Briere '466 teaches squeeze pads (122, 124) combined with knurls (126). One of ordinary skill will recognize squeeze pads serve the dual function of indicating to a user the precise location where one may squeeze the closure in order to disengage the locking lugs from the container lugs, and, providing a location for gripping means which will help prevent slipping.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the squeeze pads taught by Briere '466 to the closure of Vassallo '987, motivated by the benefit of clearly indicating to a user where to squeeze, along with providing means to prevent slipping while squeezing the closure during removal.

Regarding the term "arcuate path", Examiner notes Applicant's instant figure 8, whereby it can be seen that there is an arcuate portion between the closure lugs and the closure sidewall, but that there is a flat portion which extends toward the lug distal end, such that the entire inner portion/U-shaped recess is not fully arcuate. Examiner asserts the same structure is found in Vassallo '987 as seen in figure 5, and suggests Applicant amend the claim to better define the structure of the entire closure lugs.

Regarding claims 8-9, Vassallo '987 column 2, line 21 teaches the closure is formed of polypropylene.

Regarding claim 11, Vassallo '987 column 4, lines 63-65 teach the closure may contain sealing liners to seal with the container neck.

Regarding claims 4-5, the reference fails to teach a portion of the sidewall joining the top panel being tapered inwardly with respect to an underlying portion of the sidewall.

Biesecker '181 teaches the sidewall portion (30) tapering outwardly from the top wall (25) towards a lower sidewall portion which is also tapered outwardly, but to a lesser degree. Tapering reduces the dimension of the top wall which allows a reduction in material usage.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure cap of Vassallo '987 forming it with a portion tapering inwardly with respect to an underlying portion as taught to be known by Biesecker '181, motivated by the benefit of reducing material usage. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,926

Art Unit: 3781

Regarding claim 6, Examiner reads the radially-thicker squeeze pads as the radially thicker portion, since they are located in the underlying and outwardly-extending region of the closure.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11 and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,926

Art Unit: 3781

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jns

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700